

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,497	05/01/2001	Amina Odidi	9577-25 LAB	2340
7590 06/15/2006			EXAMINER	
Lola A. Bartoszewicz			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
Sim & McBurne	ey			
6th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
330 University Avenue			1616	
Toronto, ON 1	M5G 1R7			_
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/845,497	ODIDI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alton N. Pryor	1616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	arch 2006.						
·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	, 						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1,6-9,11,15-17 and 21-34 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)☐ Claim(s) <u>31 and 32</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1,6-9,11,15-17,21-30,33 and 34</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		te atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/845,497 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

I. Rejection of claims 17,21,23,30,33 under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Hirashima et al will be maintained in light of amendment filed 3/29/06 for reason on record and as follows. Arguments presented by Applicant are unpersuasive.

A. Applicant argues:

- Instant encasement coat comprises at the high ends 50% polymer (ethylcellulose) and 40 % PEG; whereas, Hirashima teaching is an encasement coating comprising 60 % ethyl cellulose and 30 % PEG.
- It would not be obvious to conclude that the coat of Hirashima
 would be non-permeable and soluble in a pH of above about 5.0. In
 fact Hirashima would be permeable and would not be soluble at
 any pH.

B. Examiner argues:

- 1. The amounts of PEG and ethylcellulose in Hirashima and instant invention differ only by 10% of each. Applicant does not provide a showing that the 10% difference would materially impact the invention. For this reason, the rejection of record is maintained.
- Applicant makes statement to the coat of Hirashima being permeable and insoluble at any pH. However, Applicant does not provide data showing that the 10 % difference in both the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/845,497

Art Unit: 1616

ethylcellulose and PEG would make the coat permeable and insoluble at any pH.

II. New Rejection under – 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1,6-9,11,15,16,22,24-29,34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Invention is to the encasement of a pharmaceutical formulation as a tablet, capsule, or pellet comprising active, compression aid and extrusion aid. The claims are to formulation comprising active in form of a capsule, tablet, or pellet wherein the encasement is for the active. The new material is the original claims are to the encasement of the active rather than to the encasement of the active formulated as a capsule as in amended claims.

III. Claims 31,32 are allowable. The prior art does not teach or suggest the invention wherein a capsule, tablet or pellet comprising active, compression aid and extrusion aid is surrounded by a composition comprising polymer and PEG.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,497 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616